UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,722	11/20/2003	Kazufuku Nitta	NAII121791	8696
	7590 12/18/200 N, O'CONNOR, JOHN	EXAMINER		
1420 FIFTH A		NASSER, ROBERT L		
SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA	x 98101-2347		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3735	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/717,72	2	NITTA ET AL.		
Office Act	Examiner		Art Unit			
		ROBERT	L. NASSER	3735		
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communicati	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATE WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the set	TUTORY PERIOD FOR GER, FROM THE MAIL vailable under the provisions of 37 the mailing date of this communicatified above, the maximum statutor or extended period for reply will, because than three months after the theorem.	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evolation. y period will apply and wip by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be to Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ication to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is FI 3)□ Since this applic	ommunication(s) filed on NAL. 2b)[ation is in condition for a lance with the practice u	☐ This action is nallowance except	for formal matters, pr		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 13</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification		hdrawn from cons and/or election re	equirement.	Examiner.		
Applicant may not Replacement draw	request that any objection wing sheet(s) including the aration is objected to by	to the drawing(s) becorrection is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's F 3) Information Disclosure Sta	atent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Oate		

Claims 6-12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 2/8/2007.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clawson et al 4453835. Clawson shows a temperature probe for use in measuring the temperature of respiratory gasses supplied to an infant in an incubator comprising a holder including a main body 18 adapted to be affixed to a respiratory circuit. The device has a sensor 96 which detects air temperature in the flow path. The holder of Clawson further has a protrusion which is comprised of element 62, which has a curved heat transfer suppressing portion 60 connected thereto, and where the sensor 102 is affixed in the vicinity of the tip of the protrusion 62. Since the circuit is not claimed, it is the examiner's position that the length of the protrusion is greater than some flow paths. such, based on the relative size of the sheath and the housing 60 and 62, it is clear that protrusion has a length longer than the diameter of the circuit. In addition, the curved portion 60 can suppress heat in the manor provided. The examiner notes that limitation

as to how heat is suppressed, i.e. heat exchange between the curved portion and the air, is an intended use limitation and is met if the reference is capable of the use. It is the examiner's position that Clawson's device is capable of the recited use. the With respect to claim 13, the heater is inherently upstream of the sensor. The examiner notes that whether to install the device with the curve facing left or right would have been a mere matter of design choice for one skilled in the art.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clawson in view of Doniguian 3680557. Doniguian teaches locating the temperature sensor in the incubator. As such, it would have been obvious to modify the above Clawson to locate the sensor in the incubator, as it is merely the substitution of one known sensor position for another.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/15/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has asserted that the curved portion of Clawson's device has a low thermal conductivity. It is the examiner's position that while it may have a low thermal conductivity, it does not have zero conductivity and show conduction will occur.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,722 Page 4

Art Unit: 3735

In response to applicant's argument that the device of Clawson does not suppress heat in the manner recited, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Here, the device of Clawson is capable of meeting the recited use.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT L. NASSER whose telephone number is (571)272-4731. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert L. Nasser Jr/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3735

RLN December 16, 2008